

A review on water pollution by γHCH (lindane) and its removal using nanomaterials

Aradhna Gupta, Bechan Sharma*

Department of Biochemistry, University of Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh 211002, India * Corresponding author: Bechan Sharma, bechansharma@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 21 August 2023 Accepted: 12 September 2023 Available online: 22 December 2023

doi: 10.59400/jts.v1i1.195

Copyright © 2023 Author(s).

Journal of Toxicological Studies is published by Academic Publishing Pte. Ltd. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/

ABSTRACT: Water pollution by the direct discharge of pollutants (fertilizers, pesticides, heavy metals, etc.) into the river without any pretreatment has become a severe environmental/health hazard. Organochlorine pesticides have extensively been used from the 1940s to 1980 as insecticides in agriculture, weedicides, herbicides, etc. Lindane, an organochlorine pesticide, contributes to bioaccumulation in aquatic organisms and biomagnification in the food chain due to its hydrophobic chemical nature and environmentally persistent property with a very slow rate of degradation. Nanotechnology has proven to be very efficient in removing pollutants. Nanomaterials with unique physical and chemical properties have become a tool for toxicant eradication. Some of the properties of nanomaterials, like high reactivity, adhesion, reflectance, surface plasmon resonance to detect toxic materials, quantum effect in which there is no resistance faced by charged particles, small size, and large surface area to volume, enable them to adsorb many toxicants on their surface, thereby assisting in detoxification and removal of pollutants from water. Some examples include the application of nano-zerovalent iron in the oxidation of groundwater, the reusability of photocatalytic membranes, and many more. This review article presents an updated account of some techniques for eradicating lindane from the aqueous medium.

KEYWORDS: lindane; nano catalyst; adsorbents; nanofiltration; nanotubes

1. Introduction

The increased outbursts in population, industrialization, and decreased employment ratio have led to the adaptation of urban life technologies. These technologies, on the one hand, are blossoming for the users, but on the other hand, they are making them diseased in the long run. The fantasy of these technologies is that they never leave users barehanded. In the real sense, everybody is living under the shield of technology.

Water pollution has been a very intriguing subject in all fields of science. The water quality of a river is defined by its physicochemical properties like salt, BOD, pH, suspended solids, speed, colligative (concentration, molarity, molality), and non-colligative (viscosity, solubility, surface tension), which play an important role in the growth of plants and animals. In this review article, a comprehensive study has been made on how nanocatalysts, nanofiltration, and nanoadsorbents can effectively reduce the effects of lindane.

2. Water pollution by organochlorine pesticides, especially lindane

The γ isomer of hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) is the most polar and reactive form of all its isomers, α, β, δ, γ, and ε. It is a white crystalline solid with a slight musty odour, melting point 112.5 ℃, boiling point 323 °C, vapor pressure 9.4×10^{-6} mmHg at 20 °C, density 1.85 g/cm³, relative density 1.87, solubility in water (nil), solubility in polar organic solvents 70%–100%, corrosive to aluminum, and nonflammable. Upon heating, it can form toxic fumes of gases such as phosgene, hydrogen chloride, and carbon monoxide. It is slowly metabolized through four possible routes. (i) Dehydrogenation results in gamma-hexachlorocyclohexane; (ii) dehydrochlorination in gamma-pentachlorocyclohexene; (iii) dechlorination to gamma-tetrachlorohexene; and (iv) hydroxylation results in hexachlorocyclohexanol^{[1-} ^{4]}. Its use has been banned since 2009 under the Stockholm Convention on persistent organochlorine pesticides^[5,6]. Due to their long half-lives, these chemicals persist in the environment for a long time, adversely affecting the quality of the natural ecosystem^[7]. The main cause of environmental contamination by lindane is its use in agricultural practices and the intake of foods from treated agricultural commodities. Approximately 12%–30% of lindane volatilizes into the atmosphere, which can again be deposited by rainfall or coming into contact with water bodies (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lindane#Pest_repellent). So, this cycle is always continued and is known as the grasshopper effect. As a pharmaceutical, it is used for the treatment of lice and scabies; in households as an insecticide; in agriculture as a weedicide or as a fungicide; to treat live stocks, pets, and food crops. Further, their non-hydrophilic and non-biodegradable nature contributes to biomagnification and bioaccumulation in aquatic organisms^[8]. As an insecticide, it protects crops from insects like locusts, which have put the economy of farmers at risk. The use of fertilizers and pesticides by farmers to grow crops at a faster rate than their growth at optimal conditions results in increased crop turnover. However, this practice has enormously disrupted the natural flora and fauna of soil and water. About 45% of the crops have been destroyed because of pesticide use^[9]. The nanoparticles of fertilizers, pesticides, etc. endanger the environment. It is difficult to predict when its drift in the air will subside as it is extremely stable in the air^[10]. Figure 1 shows the yearly worldwide use of different pesticide formulations^[11].

Figure1. Worldwide annual pesticide usage.

The human population consuming the fish from any pesticide-contaminated river or pond may be at risk. The residues of lindane have been analyzed in different components like soil, water, air, plants, and even humans. The rhizospheric mycobacterium species p27 can degrade the lindane in soil and help increase plant growth^[12]. The safe drinking water directives determine a limit of $0.5 \mu g/L$ for all pesticides in water and 0.1 μg/L for individual pesticides^[13]. Acute health effects from lindane exposure or breathing can cause irritation to the skin, eyes, nose, throat, and lungs. It can also cause headaches, nausea, vomiting, dizziness, seizures, irritability, restlessness, muscle weakness, twitching, convulsions, and a coma. Chronic effects can cause cancer, decreased fertility in females, damage to the developing fetus, blood cells causing anemia, kidney damage, liver damage, and arrhythmia (https://nj.gov/health/eoh/rtkweb/documents/fs/1117.pdf). There are numerous studies on lindane toxicity, sublethal exposure of lindane to fish, and changes in enzyme activities that prove its adverse effects on aquatic bodies^[14–17]. There are several methods for lindane removal from the water, like the nonthermal plasma method, the use of organ zeolites, and the use of microorganisms (gram-negative) as bioremediation. Among all these methods mentioned and many more, no doubt nanotechnology has proved to be very efficient in lindane removal and wastewater treatment.

3. Nanotechnology as a tool to eradicate pollutants

The use of nanomaterials has proven to mitigate the levels of pollutants, including pesticides, which can be dangerous, life-threatening, and pose serious threats to aquatic life. The nanoparticles with large surface area, high reactivity for contaminants, magnetic properties, and surface modifiability make them efficient at removing pollutants from water^[18,19]. They show a quantum effect by decreasing the activation energy for the reaction to occur and diffusing into a contamination zone that microparticles cannot access. Engineered nanomaterials like nano-catalysts capture freely available solar energy to decontaminate water under visible light^[20]. Nano-metal oxides of titanium and zinc have been used as photocatalysts and adsorbents. Zeolites have been shown to remove heavy metals.

Nanofiltration is a technique to remove organic matter and divalent ions from surfaces and groundwater, making it potable and soft. In this process, a membrane (dense/porous) is used that separates solid matter from the aqueous phase. Nanostructured catalytic membranes made of cellulose acetate, polyvinylidene fluoride, polysulphone, etc. are efficient at removing chlorinated toxicants. Properties like molecular weight, membrane pore size, dipole moment, and lipophilicity affect the adsorption of pesticides on membranes. The nanofiltration technique is superior to ultra (0.01 μ) and micro (0.1 μ) filtration, which removes particles in the range of 0.00–0.0001 $\mu^{[21,22]}$. This technique works on a diffusion-permeation mechanism. The uncharged pollutants are separated based on the size exclusion limit of the membrane. In contrast, charged contaminants separate based on charge repulsion/electrostatic interaction and require less pressure (7–30 atm) than reverse osmosis (20–100 atm ^[23–27]. Due to the fixed surface charge of nanomembranes, they possess selective binding specificity to various contaminants in the liquid, apart from physical separation. The 90% retention of toxicants on the membrane depends on the membrane's pore size^[28]. Hydrogen bonding between organochlorine pesticides and hydrophilic groups on membranes enhances the adsorption of pesticides. The pesticides' polarity/dipole moment helps to retain the toxicants on the membrane; conversely, increased dipole moment leads to lower retention^[29]. The formation of macromolecular complexes or natural organic matter also intensifies retention^[30]. Adsorption of toxicants increases with increasing ionic strength and decreasing the pH of feed water $[31]$.

Pesticide adsorption on membranes follows pseudo-second-order kinetics due to oxides of metals such as ferric, manganese, aluminum, titanium, and magnesium. The adsorption process is spontaneous and exothermic. It destroys toxicants, converting them into safer byproducts at different temperatures^[32]. Oxides of ferric and zinc nanoparticles are potentially useful for the remediation of lindane-polluted

sites because they can reach or penetrate zones that are inaccessible to micro-size solid catalysts^[33].

Carbon nanotubes made of graphite and graphene (carbon nanomaterials) have good potential to remove pesticides from water. The small pore size of these tubes allows water to pass at a fast speed while retaining the toxicants $[34,35]$. The adsorption of organic chemicals on carbon nanotubes (multi/single/hybrid) is due to interactions like the hydrophobic effect, covalent bonding, π - π interactions, hydrogen bonding, and electrostatic interactions, which make them more hydrophilic and suitable for the sorption of low molecular weight and polar compounds. Graphene can adsorb pesticides ranging from $600-2000$ mg/g from water^[36]. The presence of oxidizing agents tends to increase toxicant retention.

Nano-catalyst: Photocatalytic oxidation is a process to degrade non-biodegradable and toxic pollutants into harmless products, carbon dioxide, and water. In this technique, a positively charged semiconductor acts as a catalyst, which absorbs light and generates superoxide/hydroxyll radicals in water, which helps in the oxidative reduction of pollutants^[37]. The different types of semiconductor materials used as photocatalysts are ZnO (zinc oxide), TiO₂ (titanium dioxide), Fe₂O₃ (ferric oxide), CdS (cadmium sulfide), and WO_3 (tungsten oxide)^[38]. WO_2 showed increased adsorption capacity for organic dyes in water, $TiO₂$ for pesticide degradation^[39,40], and non-metallic silica oxide for the removal of organic compounds and heavy metals^[41,42]. Doping of TiO₂ with nitrogen in a 16:1 M ratio showed 100% photocatalytic oxidation of lindane in visible light, whereas 37.5% under UV light^[43]. Biopolymers of iron sulfide nanoparticles degraded 94% lindane in 8 h, and 100% degradation of lindane took place under Fe₃ (nano)/H₂O₂/UV in 320 min of UV irradiation time^[44,45]. C18-embedded Fe₃O₄ are magnetic nanoparticles used for separating non-polar (organochlorines) and polar pesticides (organophosphates). The magnetic nano-iron particles with enzymes decrease their activation energy and increase longevity, durability, and stability by keeping the catalyst in a reduced state and not letting it oxidize^[46]. The studies on biocatalytic dechlorination of lindane have been done using nanoparticles of Pd (0) coated on Shewanella oneidensis and Pd/FeO bimetallic nanoparticles with Sphingomonas sp. NM05.

Nanosorbents: This is a surface phenomenon. The sorption of pollutants on the sorbent surface depends on the transport of contaminants from water to the sorbent surface, adsorption at the sorbent surface, and lastly, transportation within the sorbent. Magnetic nanoadsorbents with a particular ligand affinity bind to organic contaminants as receptors $[47]$. Iron oxide nanostructures remove organic pollutants and heavy metals from water and can later be regenerated using magnetic separation or catalytic combustion at 300 ℃. Granulous activated carbon has been the best for the removal of organic pollutants as it is a thermally and chemically stable material. The nano-zero-valent ions combined with the reactive nanoscale iron products are best for the dechlorination of organochlorine pesticides. They degrade pollutants effectively with minimal generation of secondary pollutants. The nano-zero-valent iron provides complete reductive degradation of lindane into benzene and chloride within 24 $h^{[48]}$. Some of the biosorbents made from salmon milt DNA hydrogel beads have been used for dioxin eradication^[49].

4. Conclusion

The unique properties of nanomaterials, like their small size, high reactivity, and eco-friendliness, make them highly efficient for removing toxicants from water. Several studies employing green methods have been done for the eradication of pesticides from the water. Each technique has its own importance, uniqueness, and specificity. Nanozinc oxide with hydrogen peroxide, photo Fenton nanomaterials used as catalysts and adsorption, and many other techniques have been found to be efficient in lindane removal. Though they have proved to be good, their use at the commercial level is also very challenging. Longterm study assessments need to be done to know about different types of risk factors that may arise when

using these technologies.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the University of Allahabad for providing facilities for carrying out the present work. AG acknowledges UGC-New Delhi for providing financial support in the form of a fellowship.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Wacławek S, Antoš V, Hrabák P, et al. Remediation of hexachlorocyclohexanes by electrochemically activated persulfates. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 2015; 23(1): 765–773. doi: 10.1007/s11356- 015-5312-y
- 2. Salam JA, Das N. Degradation of lindane by a novel embedded bio-nano hybrid system in aqueous environment. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 2014; 99(5): 2351-2360. doi: 10.1007/s00253-014-6112-x
- 3. Nguyen TH, Nguyen TTL, Pham TD, et al. Removal of lindane from aqueous solution using aluminum hydroxide nanoparticles with surface modification by anionic surfactant. Polymers 2020; 12(4): 960. doi: 10.3390/polym12040960
- 4. Rizwan M, Singh M, Mitra CK, Morve RK. Ecofriendly application of nanomaterials: Nanobioremediation. Journal of Nanoparticles 2014; 2014: 431787. doi: 10.1155/2014/431787
- 5. UNEP. Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs). Available online: http://www.pops.int (accessed on 20 December 2023).
- 6. UNEP. Global Report 2003: Regionally Based Assessment of Persistent Toxic Substances. UNEP Chemicals; 2003.
7. Maddah B, Hasanzadeh M. Fe₃O₄/CNT magnetic nanocomposites as adsorbents to remove
- Maddah B, Hasanzadeh M. Fe₃O₄/CNT magnetic nanocomposites as adsorbents to remove organophosphorus pesticides from environmental water. International Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology 2017; 13(2): 139–149.
- 8. Gupta A, Siddiqi NJ, Sharma B. Bioaccumulation and biochemical studies of toxicants in fish on AChE. Open Journal of Pathol & Toxicology Research 2021; 1(1): 000505.
- 9. Abhilash PC, Singh N. Pesticide use and application: An Indian scenario. Journal of Hazardous Materials 165(1–3): 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.10.061
- 10. Srivastava M, Abhilash PC, Singh N. Remediation of lindane using engineered nanoparticles. Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology 2011; 7(1): 172–174. doi: 10.1166/jbn.2011.1255
- 11. Sharma A, Kumar V, Shahzad B, et al. Worldwide pesticide usage and its impacts on ecosystem. SN Applied Sciences 2019; 1: 1446. doi: 10.1007/s42452-019-1485-1
- 12. SinghT, Singh DK. Rhizospheric Microbacterium sp. P27 showing potential of lindane degradation and plant growth promoting traits. Current Microbiology 2019; 76(7): 888–895. doi: 10.1007/s00284-019-01703-x
- 13. Ignatowicz K. Selection of sorbent for removing pesticides during water treatment. Journal of Hazardous Material 2009; 169(1–3): 953–957. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.04.061
- 14. Gupta A, Sharma B. Evaluation of levels of phosphatases in the lindane exposed fish, Channa punctatus. Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences 2023; 4(3): 555–561. doi: 10.37871/jbres1710
- 15. Gupta A, Sharma B. A study on transaminases in lindane exposed fish C. punctatus. Journal of Biomedical Research & Environmental Sciences 2023; 4(6): 1100–1107. doi: 10.37871/jbres1773
- 16. Gupta A. An evaluation of lactate dehydrogenase in the lindane exposed fresh water fish, Channa punctatus. International Journal of Animal Biotechnology and Applications 2021; 7(1): 9–19.
- 17. Gupta A, Sharma B. Acute chronic toxicity of lindane in Channa punctatus. Open Journal of Pathol & Toxicol Research 2021; 1(1): 000501.
- 18. Riu J, Maroto A, Rius FX. Nanosensors in environmental analysis. Talanta 2006; 69(2): 288–301. doi: 10.1016/j.talanta.2005.09.045
- 19. Cloete TE, De Kwaadsteniet M, Botes M, et al. Nanotechnologyin Water Treatment Applications. Caister Academic Press; 2010.
- 20. Bora T, Dutta J. Applications of nanotechnology in wastewater treatment—A review. Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology 2014; 14(1): 613–626. doi: 10.1166/jnn.2014.8898
- 21. Prachi, Gautam P, Madathil D, Brijesh Nair AN. Nanotechnology in waste water treatment: A review. International Journal of ChemTech Research 2013; 5(5): 2303–2308.
- 22. El-Safty SA, Hoa ND, Shenashen MA. Topical developments of nanoporous membrane filters for ultrafine noble metal nanoparticles. European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry 2012; 2012(33): 5439–5450. doi: 10.1002/ejic.201200629
- 23. Choi JH, Dockko S, Fukushi K, Yamamoto K. A novel application of a submerged nanofiltration membrane bioreactor (NF MBR) for wastewater treatment. Desalination 2002; 146(1–3): 413–420. doi: 10.1016/S0011-9164(02)00524-6
- 24. Verliefde ARD, Cornelissen ER, Heijman SGJ, et al. The role of electrostatic interactions on the rejection of organic solutes in aqueous solutions with nanofiltration. Journal of Membrane Science 2008; 322(1): 52–66. doi: 10.1016/j.memsci.2008.05.022
- 25. Cadotte J, Forester R, Kim M, et al. Nanofiltration membranes broaden the use of membrane separation technology. Desalination 1988; 70(1–3): 77–88. doi: 10.1016/0011-9164(88)85045-8
- 26. Drewes J, Bellona C, Xu P, et al. Comparing Nanofiltration and Reverse Osmosis for Treating Recycled Water. International Water Association (IWA); 2008.
- 27. Bowen WR, Mukhtar H. Characterisation and prediction of separation performance of nanofiltration membranes. Journal of Membrane Science 1996; 112(2): 263–274. doi: 10.1016/0376-7388(95)00302-9
- 28. Behnam R, Morshed M, Tavanai H, Ghiaci M. Destructive adsorption of diazinon pesticide by activated carbon nanofibers containing A_1O_3 and MgO nanoparticles. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 2013; 91: 475–480. doi: 10.1007/s00128-013-1064-x
- 29. Košutić K, Kunst B. Removal of organics from aqueous solutions by commercial RO and NF membranes of characterized porosities. Desalination 2002; 142(1): 47–56. doi: 10.1016/S0011-9164(01)00424-6
- 30. Musbah I, Cicéron D, Saboni A, Alexandrova S. Retention of pesticides and metabolites by nanofiltration by effects of size and dipole moment. Desalination 2013; 313: 51–56. doi: 10.1016/j.desal.2012.11.016
- 31. Jucker C, Clark MM. Adsorption of aquatic humic substances on hydrophobic ultrafiltration membranes. Journal of Membrane Science 1994; 97: 37–52. doi: 10.1016/0376-7388(94)00146-P
- 32. Fryxell GE, Cao G. Environmental Applications of Nanomaterials: Synthesis, Sorbents and Sensors. World Scientific: 2012.
- 33. Liu WT. Nanoparticles and their biological and environmental applications. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering 2006; 102(1): 1–7. doi: 10.1263/jbb.102.1
- 34. Hummer G, Rasaiah JC, Noworyta JP. Water conduction through the hydrophobic channel of a carbon nanotube. Nature 2001; 414: 188–190. doi: 10.1038/35102535
- 35. Wan R, Lu H, Li J, et al. Concerted orientation induced unidirectional water transport through nanochannels. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 2009; 11(42): 9898–9902. doi: 10.1039/B907926M
- 36. Taghizade Firozjaee T, Mehrdadi N, Baghdadi M, Nabi Bidhendi GR. Application of nanotechnology in pesticides removal from aqueous solutions—A review. International Journal of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology 2018; 14(1): 43–56.
- 37. Coronado JM, Fresno F, Hernández-Alonso MD, Portela R. Design of Advanced Photocatalytic Materials for Energy and Environmental Applications. Springer; 2013.
- 38. Hagfeldt A, Graetzel M. Light-induced redox reactions in nanocrystalline systems. Chemical Reviews 1995; 95(1): 49–68. doi: 10.1021/cr00033a003
- 39. Jeon S, Yong K. Morphology-controlled synthesis of highly adsorptive tungsten oxide nanostructures and their application to water treatment. Journal of Materials Chemistry 2010; 20(45): 10146–10151. doi: 10.1039/C0JM01644F
- 40. Danwittayakul S, Jaisai M, Koottatep T, Dutta J. Enhancement of photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange by supported zinc oxide nanorods/zinc stannate (ZnO/ZTO) on porous substrates. *Industrial and* Engineering Chemistry Research 2013; 52(38): 13629–13636. doi: 10.1021/ie4019726
- 41. Sangvanich T, Morry J, Fox C, et al. Novel oral detoxification of mercury, cadmium, and lead with thiolmodified nanoporous silica. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2014; 6(8): 5483–5493. doi: 10.1021/am5007707
- 42. Anbia M, Salehi S. Removal of acid dyes from aqueous media by adsorption onto amino-functionalized nanoporous silica SBA-3. Dyes and Pigments 2012; 94(1): 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.dyepig.2011.10.016
- 43. Senthilnathan J, Philip L. Photocatalytic degradation of lindane under UV and visible light using N-doped TiO2. Chemical Engineering Journal 2010; 161(1–2): 83–92. doi: 10.1016/j.cej.2010.04.034
- 44. Paknikar KM, Nagpal V, Pethkar AV, Rajwade JM. Degradation of lindane from aqueous solutions using iron sulfide nanoparticles stabilized by biopolymers. Science and Technology of Advanced Materials 2005; 6(3-4): 370–374. doi: 10.1016/j.stam.2005.02.016
- 45. Derbalah A, Ismail A, Hamza A, et al. Monitoring and remediation of organochlorine residues in water. Water Environment Research 2014; 86(7): 584–593. doi: 10.2175/106143014x13975035525221
- 46. Singh R, Manickam N, Mudiam MKR, et al. An integrated (nano-bio) technique for degradation of γ-HCH contaminated soil. Journal of Hazardous Materials 2013; 258–259: 35–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.04.016
- 47. Qu X, Alvarez PJJ, Li Q. Applications of nanotechnology in water and wastewater treatment. Water Research 2013; 47(12): 3931–3946. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2012.09.058
- 48. Elliott DW, Lien HL, Zhang WX. Degradation of lindane by zero-valent iron nanoparticles. Journal of Environmental Engineering 2009; 135(5): 317–324. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2009)135:5(317)
- 49. Liu XD, Murayama Y, Matsunaga M, et al. Preparation and characterization of DNA hydrogel bead as selective adsorbent of dioxins. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules 2005; 35(3–4): 193–199. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2005.01.008

Appendix

Table A1. (Continued).

Journal of Toxicological Studies 2023; 1(1): 195.

Table A1. (Continued).