



ON THE ENERGY EQUALITY FOR WEAK SOLUTIONS OF THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

N. V. Giang¹, D. Q. Khai² and N. M. Tri²

¹Faculty of Basic Sciences

Thai Nguyen University of Technology

666, 3/2 Street, Tich Luong

Thai Nguyen, Vietnam

e-mail: ngogiangtcn@gmail.com

²Institute of Mathematics

Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology

18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Cau Giay

Hanoi, Vietnam

e-mail: dqkhai@math.ac.vn

triminh@math.ac.vn

Abstract

In this paper, we first introduce the concept of absolutely continuous functions of order s ($0 < s \leq 1$). Next, we prove the energy equality for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in bounded

Received: October 11, 2022; Accepted: November 15, 2022

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35Q30; Secondary 76D05.

Keywords and phrases: Navier-Stokes equations, energy equality, energy inequality, weak solution.

How to cite this article: N. V. Giang, D. Q. Khai and N. M. Tri, On the energy equality for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, *Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes* 29 (2022), 101-115. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/0974324322035>

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Published Online: December 12, 2022

three dimensional domains if and only if u is an absolutely continuous solution of order $1/2$. Finally, we present a sufficient condition for the energy equality of weak solutions to NSE. Here, we prove that if $u \in L^2(0, T; H^s) \cap L^4(0, T; L^{\frac{12}{2s+1}})$ ($1 \leq s < \frac{5}{2}$), then the energy equality holds.

1. Introduction

We consider the three dimensional initial boundary value problem for NSE

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^3 u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \Delta u_i + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^T := (0, T) \times \Omega, \quad i = \overline{1, 3}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(u) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega^T, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 , and u_0 is a given vector-function satisfying the condition $\operatorname{div}(u_0) = 0$.

We recall the definitions of the spaces $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$, $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$:

$$C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) = \{u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \operatorname{div}(u) = 0\},$$

$$W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega) = \text{the closure of } C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) \text{ in the topology } H_0^1(\Omega),$$

$$L_\sigma^2(\Omega) = \text{the closure of } C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega) \text{ in the topology } L^2(\Omega).$$

The space $L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$ is equipped with the usual scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and the norm

$$\|u\|_2 = \|u\|_{2,\Omega} = \|u\|_{L^2} := \left(\int_\Omega |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The space $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with scalar product

$$((u, v)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 (D_i u, D_i v).$$

The norm in $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_{W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{1,2} := \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|D^\alpha u(x)\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The space $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is contained and dense in $L_\sigma^2(\Omega)$, and the injection is continuous. Let $W_{0,\sigma}^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ denote the dual space of $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. By the Riesz representation theorem, we have

$$W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega) \subset L_\sigma^2(\Omega) \subset W_{0,\sigma}^{-1,2}(\Omega).$$

For each u in $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, there exists a unique element of $W_{0,\sigma}^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ which we denote by Au such that

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = ((u, v)), \quad \forall v \in W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

We denote by H^s (or sometimes $H^s(\Omega)$) the domain of definition of $A^{\frac{s}{2}}$. For the definition of $A^{\frac{s}{2}}$, we refer the readers to [9].

We define a trilinear continuous form by setting

$$\mathbf{b}(u, v, w) = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} u_i (D_i v_j) w_j.$$

For u, v in $W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, we denote by $B(u, v)$ the element of $W_{0,\sigma}^{-1,2}(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\langle B(u, v), w \rangle = \mathbf{b}(u, v, w), \text{ for all } w \in W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

and we set

$$Bu = B(u, u) \in W_{0,\sigma}^{-1,2}(\Omega), \text{ for all } u \in W_{0,\sigma}^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

By projecting on space $W_{0,\sigma}^{-1,2}(\Omega)$, equation (1.1) can write in the form

$$\frac{du}{dt} + Au + Bu = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Definition 1.1. A vector field

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L_\sigma^2(\Omega)) \cap L_{loc}^2(0, T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$$

is called a *weak solution of NSE* if the relation

$$-(u, w_t)_{\Omega, T} + (\nabla u, \nabla w)_{\Omega, T} - (uu, \nabla w)_{\Omega, T} = (u_0, w(0))_\Omega$$

is satisfied for all test functions $w \in C_0^\infty(0, T; C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega))$.

In this definition, $(\cdot, \cdot)_\Omega$ means the usual pairing of functions on Ω , $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\Omega, T}$ means the corresponding pairing on $[0, T] \times \Omega$. Finally, $uu = (u_i u_j)_{i,j=1}^3$ for $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ and we have $u \cdot \nabla u = (u \cdot \nabla)u = \operatorname{div}(uu)$ when $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$.

Leray [6] and Hopf [4] showed the global existence of weak solutions to NSE satisfying the energy inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2.$$

However, the question whether or not every weak solution satisfies the energy inequality remains still an open problem. Solutions satisfying the above energy inequality are called *Leray-Hopf weak solutions*.

It is well-known that the classical solutions of NSE satisfy the energy equality

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2.$$

Serrin [7] showed that if a weak solution u belongs to $L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$ for some $q > 3$, $s > 2$ with

$$\frac{3}{q} + \frac{2}{s} \leq 1,$$

then u satisfies the energy equality.

Later, Shinbrot [8] derived the same conclusion if the weak solution u belongs to $L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$ with

$$\frac{2}{q} + \frac{2}{s} \leq 1 \quad (q \geq 4).$$

Sohr [9] proved the energy equality for a weak solution u if uu belongs to $L^4_{loc}(0, T; L^4(\Omega))$. Here, the Serrin index of $L^4_{loc}(0, T; L^4(\Omega))$ is $\frac{5}{4}$.

In 2008, Cheskidov et al. [1] proved the energy equality in a function class not covered by the class considered by Sohr. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$, then they obtained the energy equality for weak solutions belonging to $L^3(0, T; B^{\frac{1}{3}}_{3, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. For a general domain Ω , the energy equality for weak

solutions belonging to $L^3(0, T; B^{\frac{1}{3}}_{3, \infty}(\Omega))$ is still not known. Later on in [2],

the authors showed that if Ω is a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary and if

a weak solution u belongs to $L^3(0, T; H^{\frac{5}{6}}(\Omega))$, then u satisfies the energy

equality. Notice that the Serrin index of $L^3(0, T; H^{\frac{5}{6}}(\Omega))$ is $\frac{4}{3}$. For general

unbounded domains, Farwig and Taniuchi [3] proved the energy equality for

weak solutions in $L^3(0, T; \tilde{D}_{18}^{\frac{2}{7}}(\Omega))$, where $\tilde{D}_{18}^{\frac{2}{7}}(\Omega)$ is a space obtained by interpolation between the Sobolev space $H^{\frac{5}{6}}(\Omega)$ and the Besov space $B_{3, \infty}^{\frac{1}{3}}(\Omega)$. Observe that the Serrin index of $L^3(0, T; \tilde{D}_{18}^{\frac{2}{7}}(\Omega))$ is also $\frac{4}{3}$.

In this paper, we first introduce the concept of absolutely continuous functions of order s ($0 < s \leq 1$). Next, we prove the energy equality for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in bounded three dimensional domains if and only if u is an absolutely continuous solution of order $1/2$. Finally, we present a sufficient condition for the energy equality of weak solutions to NSE. Here, we prove that if $u \in L^2(0, T; H^s(\Omega)) \cap L^4(0, T; L^{\frac{12}{2s+1}}(\Omega))$ ($1 \leq s < \frac{5}{2}$), then the energy equality holds. Note that the Serrin index of $L^2(0, T; H^s(\Omega)) \cap L^4(0, T; L^{\frac{12}{2s+1}}(\Omega))$ ($1 \leq s < \frac{5}{2}$) is also $4/3$ but our space is not contained in $L^3(0, T; H^{\frac{5}{6}}(\Omega))$ nor in $L^3(0, T; \tilde{D}_{18}^{\frac{2}{7}}(\Omega))$.

2. Energy Equality for Absolutely Continuous Solutions of Order $1/2$

Definition 2.1. A function f is called *absolutely continuous* of order s ($0 < s \leq 1$) on $[a, b]$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ so that for all finite separate intervals $[a_i, b_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $[a_i, b_i] \subset [a, b]$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i - a_i|^s < \delta,$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \varepsilon.$$

Remark. (i) If $s = 1$, then the above definition is the definition of the absolute continuity.

(ii) For $0 < s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1$, if the function f is absolutely continuous of order s_2 on $[a, b]$, then f is absolutely continuous of order s_1 on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that u is a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. Then there exists a closed set $K \subset [0, T]$ whose 1/2-dimensional Hausdorff measure vanishes, and such that u is (at least) continuous from $[0, T] \setminus K$ into H^1 .*

This theorem is Theorem 5.1 in [10].

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that u is a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations and $u \in C(a, b; H^1(\Omega))$, $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$. Then the energy equality holds:*

$$\frac{1}{2} (\|u(a)\|_2^2 - \|u(b)\|_2^2) = \int_a^b \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau.$$

This theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.4.1, V, see [9].

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that u is a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. A necessary and sufficient condition for the weak solution u satisfying the energy equality*

$$\frac{1}{2} (\|u(0)\|_2^2 - \|u(T)\|_2^2) = \int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt$$

is that the function $\|u(t)\|_2^2$ is absolutely continuous of order 1/2 on $[0, T]$.

Proof. The sufficient condition. We have that the function $\|\nabla u\|_2^2$ is integrable on $[0, T]$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, because of the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ so that

$$\int_M \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt < \varepsilon$$

with any measurable set $M \subset [0, T]$, $\mu(M) < \delta_1$, where μ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set in \mathbb{R}^1 . Since $\|u(t)\|_2^2$ is absolutely continuous of order 1/2 on $[0, T]$, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \|u(a_i)\|_2^2 - \|u(b_i)\|_2^2 \right| < \varepsilon$$

with any finite separate intervals $[a_i, b_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $[a_i, b_i] \subset [0, T]$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i - a_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta_2.$$

From Theorem 2.2, there exists an open set $\Omega \subset [0, T]$ so that $K = [0, T] \setminus \Omega$, $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}(K) = 0$ and $u \in C(\Omega, H^1)$. Here, $\mu_s(M)$ denotes the s -dimensional Hausdorff measure of a set in \mathbb{R}^1 , $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

By the definition of the Hausdorff measure, there exist open intervals G_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, $G_i \subset [0, T]$ such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$$

satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |G_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta := \min(\delta_1, \delta_2).$$

Here, $|H|$ is the diameter of any set H , $|H| = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in H\}$.

Because K is compact, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |G_i|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |G_i|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta.$$

It is easy to see that, there exist sets $H_i = (a_i, b_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^m H_i, \quad H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$$

and

$$H_i = \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_i} G_{i_k},$$

with $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq i_{k_1} < i_{k_2} < \dots < i_{k_i} \leq n$. We have

$$|H_i|_{\frac{1}{2}} = \left| \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_i} G_{i_k} \right|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_i} |G_{i_k}|_{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$1 \leq i \leq m$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |H_i|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{k_i} |G_{i_k}|_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^n |G_i|_{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i|_{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta.$$

Let

$$M = [0, T] \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m (a_i, b_i).$$

Then

$$M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} [c_i, d_i],$$

where $[c_i, d_i] \cap [c_k, d_k] = \emptyset$, $i, k = 1, 2, \dots, m+1$, $i \neq k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u(0)\|_2^2 - \|u(T)\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (\|u(c_i)\|_2^2 - \|u(d_i)\|_2^2) + \sum_{i=1}^m (\|u(a_i)\|_2^2 - \|u(b_i)\|_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in C([c_i, d_i]; H^1)$, we have by Theorem 2.3,

$$\frac{1}{2} (\|u(c_i)\|_2^2 - \|u(d_i)\|_2^2) = \int_{c_i}^{d_i} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt.$$

From

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta \leq \delta_2,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\|u(a_i)\|_2^2 - \|u(b_i)\|_2^2) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\delta < 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |b_i - a_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} < \delta \leq \delta_1,$$

we deduce that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{2} (\|u(0)\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u(T)\|_{L^2}^2) - \int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (\|u(a_i)\|_2^2 - \|u(b_i)\|_2^2) - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{a_i}^{b_i} \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \right| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2} (\|u(0)\|_2^2 - \|u(T)\|_2^2) = \int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt.$$

The necessary condition. If u satisfies the energy equality, then the function $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is absolutely continuous of order $s = 1$. Therefore,

$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is absolutely continuous of order $s = \frac{1}{2}$. □

3. A Sufficient Condition for the Energy Equality

We first recall two lemmas that we need later.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a given Banach space with dual X' and let u and g be two functions belonging to $L^1(a, b; X)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

(i) u is equal to a primitive function of g :

$$u(t) = \xi + \int_0^t g(s) ds, \quad \xi \in X, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

(ii) For each test function $\phi \in D(a, b)$,

$$\int_a^b u(t)\phi'(t) dt = -\int_a^b g(t)\phi(t) dt \quad \left(\phi' = \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right).$$

(iii) For each $\eta \in X'$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle u, \eta \rangle = \langle g, \eta \rangle,$$

in the scalar distribution sense, on (a, b) .

In particular, if (i)-(iii) are satisfied, then u is equal to a continuous function from $[a, b]$ into X .

This lemma is Lemma 1.1 in [11].

Lemma 3.2. Let V, H, V' be three Hilbert spaces, each space continuously included in the following one, V' being the dual of V , $H \equiv H'$. If a function u belongs to $L^2(0, T; V)$ and its derivative u' belongs to $L^2(0, T; V')$, then u is almost everywhere equal to a function continuous from $[0, T]$ into H and we have the following equality, which holds in the scalar distribution sense on $(0, T)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 = 2\langle u', u \rangle.$$

This lemma is a particular case of a general theorem of interpolation of Lions-Magenes [5].

Theorem 3.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain, $1 \leq s < \frac{5}{2}$ and u be a weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations. Suppose additionally that

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H^s) \cap L^4(0, T; L^{\frac{12}{2s+1}}).$$

Then, after a redefinition on a null set of $[0, T]$, $u \in C(0, T; L^2)$, and the energy equality holds

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$.

Proof. If v is an element of $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(\Omega)$, then one have by definition of weak solutions

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u, v) + ((u, v)) + b(u, u, v) = 0.$$

In other words, we can rewrite the above equation in another form

$$u' = -Au - Bu. \quad (3.1)$$

Let

$$q = \frac{6}{5-2s}.$$

By the Sobolev embedding inequality, we have

$$\|v\|_{W^{1,q}} \leq c \|v\|_{W^{s,2}} \leq c \|v\|_{H^s}.$$

Now, using the Hölder inequality with $\frac{2}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we obtain inequality

$$|b(u, u, v)| \leq c \|u\|_{L^p}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^q} \leq c \|u\|_{L^p}^2 \|v\|_{W^{1,q}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$|b(u, u, v)| \leq c \|u\|_{\frac{12}{L^{2s+1}}}^2 \|v\|_{H^s}.$$

Hence,

$$\|Bu(t)\|_{H^{-s}}^2 \leq c \|u(t)\|_{\frac{12}{L^{2s+1}}}^4.$$

Therefore, by hypotheses of the theorem, Bu belongs to $L^2(0, T; H^{-s})$.

From $u \in L^2(0, T; H^s)$ with $s > 1$, it follows that $Au \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s})$.

Hence, since both Au and Bu belong to $L^2(0, T; H^{-s})$, the function $-Au - Bu$ belongs to $L^2(0, T; H^{-s})$. It then follows that

$$u' = -Au - Bu \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s}).$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 with $H = L^2$, $V = H^s$, $V' = H^{-s}$, we obtain $u \in C(0, T; L^2)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_2^2 = 2\langle u', u \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

We notice that $\langle Bu(t), u(t) \rangle \in L^1(0, T)$ since $Bu \in L^2(0, T; H^{-s})$, $u \in L^2(0, T; H^s)$. By pairing (3.1) with u , integrating in τ from 0 to t , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau - \int_0^t \langle Bu(\tau), u(\tau) \rangle d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$. However, $\langle Bu(\tau), u(\tau) \rangle = 0$ almost everywhere on $[0, T)$, then $\int_0^t \langle Bu(\tau), u(\tau) \rangle d\tau = 0$ and we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

Remark. If $s = \frac{7}{6}$, then the hypotheses in Theorem 3.3 mean

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H^{\frac{7}{6}}) \cap L^4(0, T; L^{\frac{18}{5}}).$$

Here, Serrin's index of the spaces $L^2(0, T; H^{\frac{7}{6}}) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T; L^9)$ and $L^4(0, T; L^{\frac{18}{5}})$ is $\frac{4}{3}$. The Serrin index of our spaces is the same as the Serrin index of the space considered in [2]. However, our space is different from theirs and the method of the proof is also different.

Acknowledgements

The third author of this paper is a member of the research project coded NVCC01.15/22-22, VAST. The second and third authors are supported by the ICRTM project “Some topic in partial differential equations” coded ICRTM01_2021.03. Part of this research was done while they were visiting the Vietnam Institute for Advance Study in Mathematics (VIASM).

References

- [1] A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander and R. Shvydkoy, Energy conservation and Onsager’s conjecture for the Euler equations, *Nonlinearity* 21(6) (2008), 1233-1252.
- [2] A. Cheskidov, S. Friedlander and R. Shvydkoy, On the energy equality for weak solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations, *Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, Springer, Berlin, 2010, pp. 171-175.
- [3] R. Farwig and Y. Taniuchi, On the energy equality of Navier-Stokes equations in general unbounded domains, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 95(5) (2010), 447-456.
- [4] E. Hopf, Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen, *Math. Nachr.* 4 (1951), 213-231.
- [5] J. Lions and E. Magenes, *Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems and applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1972.
- [6] J. Leray, Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace, *Acta Math.* 63 (1934), 193-248.
- [7] J. Serrin, The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations, *Nonlinear Problems*, Proc. Sympos. Madison, 1963, pp. 69-98.
- [8] M. Shinbrot, The energy equation for the Navier-Stokes system, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 5 (1974), 948-954.
- [9] H. Sohr, *The Navier-Stokes Equations*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
- [10] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations and nonlinear functional analysis*, CBMS - NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 2nd edn., Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Vol. 66, Philadelphia, 1995.
- [11] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations Theorem and Numerical Analysis*, North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1977.