



## STRONGLY GENERALIZED SOLUTION OF A FRACTIONAL PROBLEM OF PARABOLIC EVOLUTION OF ORDER-TWO IN A PLATE WITH INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

AMETANA Edoh, DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari and ALEDA Koulinté

Laboratoire d'Analyse de Modélisation Mathématiques et  
Applications (LAMMA)

Département de Mathématiques

Université de Lomé

PB 1515 Lomé, Togo

e-mail: [edohmefeneo@gmail.com](mailto:edohmefeneo@gmail.com)

[zakari.djibibe@gmail.com](mailto:zakari.djibibe@gmail.com)

[koulintealeda@gmail.com](mailto:koulintealeda@gmail.com)

### Abstract

The aim of this article is to prove uniqueness of solution to mix a fractional problem of parabolic evolution of order-two in a plate with integral boundary conditions:

---

Received: December 3, 2021; Accepted: January 24, 2022

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K70, 35B45, 46E30, 35D05, 35B30.

Keywords and phrases: fractional equation, non boundary conditions, functional analysis method, non-classical function space, strong solution, energy inequality.

---

How to cite this article: AMETANA Edoh, DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari and ALEDA Koulinté, Strongly generalized solution of a fractional problem of parabolic evolution of order-two in a plate with integral boundary conditions, *Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes* 26 (2022), 131-141. DOI: 10.17654/0974324322009

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Published Online: January 29, 2022

$$\begin{cases} D_t^\alpha v - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = F(x, y, t) \\ v(x, y, 0) = \varphi(x, y) \\ v(\ell_1, y, t) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, \ell_2, t) = 0 \\ \int_0^{\ell_1} xv(x, y, t) dx = 0 \\ \int_0^{\ell_2} v(x, y, t) dy = 0. \end{cases}$$

A functional analysis method is used. The proof is based on an energy inequality and on a priori estimates established in non-classical function spaces.

### 1. Posing of the Problem

In the plate  $\Omega = (0, \ell_1) \times (0, \ell_2) \times (0, T)$ , where  $\ell_1 < +\infty$ ,  $\ell_2 < +\infty$  and  $T < +\infty$ , we determine a solution  $u$  of the fractional differential equation:

$$D_t^\alpha v - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y, t) \quad (1.1)$$

satisfying the initial condition

$$v(x, y, 0) = \varphi(x, y), \quad (1.2)$$

the Neumann conditions

$$v(\ell_1, y, t) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, \ell_2, t) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and the nonlocal conditions

$$\int_0^{\ell_1} xv(x, y, t) dx = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\int_0^{\ell_2} v(x, y, t) dy = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

For the consistency, it follows that

$$\int_0^{\ell_1} x\varphi(x, y, t)dx = \int_0^{\ell_2} u(x, y, t)dy = 0,$$

$$\varphi(\ell_2, y) = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial y}(x, \ell_2) = 0,$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The left Caputo derivative  $D_t^\alpha$  and the gamma function  $\Gamma$  are, respectively, defined as

$$D_t^\alpha v(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tau} \frac{d\tau}{(t-\tau)^\alpha},$$

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^{+\infty} x^{1-\alpha} e^{-x} dx.$$

Fractional differential equations have generated a lot of interest to engineers and scientists in recent years. It is because FDEs have memory, nonlocal relations in space and time and complex phenomena can be modeled by using these equations. Indeed, we can find numerous applications in viscoelasticity, electro-chemistry, control theory, porous media, fluid flow, rheology, diffusive transport, electrical network, electromagnetic theory, probability, signal processing, and many other physical processes.

Many methods were used to investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solution of mixed problems which combine classical and integral conditions. The existence and uniqueness of solutions to initial and boundary-value problems for fractional differential equations has been extensively studied by many authors; see for example [1-4, 8, 10-15]. Some of the existence and uniqueness results have been obtained by using Laplace transform method, Fourier's method and energy-integral method [1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 14].

Motivated by this, we extend and generalize the study for PDEs with integral conditions to the study of two-dimensional fractional PDEs with integral conditions.

In this paper, we extend an energy-integral method to the study of mixed-type two-dimensional fractional differential equations.

This paper is outlined as follows: after this introductory section, in Section 2, we present abstract formulations of the posed problems and make precise the concept of solution of the problems. Finally, we establish a priori estimates which are derived to show the uniqueness and continuous dependence of the solution upon the data in Section 3.

## 2. Preliminaries

We introduce now a new function  $u(x, y, t) = v(x, y, t) - \varphi(x, y)$ . Then the problem can be formulated as:

$$D_t^\alpha u - \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y, t), \quad (2.1)$$

$$u(x, y, 0) = \varphi(x, y), \quad (2.2)$$

$$u(\ell_1, y, t) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, \ell_2, t) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\int_0^{\ell_1} xu(x, y, t) dx = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\int_0^{\ell_2} u(x, y, t) dy = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$f(x, t) = F(x, t) + \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial y^2}.$$

Instead of searching for the function  $v$ , we search for the function  $u$ . So the solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) will be given by  $v(x, t) = u(x, t) + \varphi(x, y)$ .

In this article, we establish an energy inequality which is derived to show the uniqueness and continuous dependence of the solution upon the data (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). For this, we consider the problem (2.1)-(2.5) as a solution of the operator equation:

$$Lu = \mathcal{F} = f, \tag{2.6}$$

with domain of definition  $D(L)$  consisting of functions  $v \in L_2(\Omega)$  such that

$$D_t^\alpha u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial t} \in L_2(\Omega)$$

and  $v$  satisfies conditions (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). The operator  $L$  is considered from  $E$  to  $F$ , where  $E$  is the Banach space consisting of functions  $u \in L_2(\Omega)$ , satisfying (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) with the finite norm:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\mathcal{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt + 2 \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_x)^2 dx dy dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega} x (\mathcal{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} x (u_y)^2 dx dy dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_x u = \int_0^x u(\xi, y, t) d\xi, \quad \mathcal{J}_y v = \int_0^y v(x, \eta, t) d\eta,$$

$$J_{xy} v = \mathcal{J}_x (\mathcal{J}_y v), \quad \mathcal{J}_y^2 v = \mathcal{J}_{yy} v = \mathcal{J}_y (J_y v).$$

Here  $F$  is the Hilbert space of vector-valued functions  $\mathcal{F} = f$  obtained by completing of the space  $L_2(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm

$$\| \mathcal{F} \|_F^2 = \int_{\Omega} f^2(x, y, t) dx dy dt. \tag{2.8}$$

**Definition 1.** A solution of the operator  $\bar{L}u = \mathcal{F}$  is called a *strong solution* of the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5).

### 3. An Energy Inequality and its Applications

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any function  $v \in E$ , there is the a priori estimate*

$$\|u\|_E \leq c \|Lu\|_{\mathbb{F}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $c$  is a constant which may depend on  $T$  but not on  $v$ .

**Proof.** Consider the inner product in  $L_2(\Omega)$ , and the linear operator

$$Mu = -x^3 \mathfrak{J}_y^2 D_t^\alpha u + 2x^2 \mathfrak{J}_y^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + x^3 \mathfrak{J}_y \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}.$$

In light of the initial condition (2.2), the boundary conditions (2.3)-(2.5), and the standard integration by parts of the equation (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt - 2 \int_{\Omega} x^2 \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u \mathfrak{J}_y u_x dx dy dt \\ & - \int_{\Omega} x^3 \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u u_y dx dy dt \\ & 2 \int_{\Omega} x^2 \mathfrak{J}_y u_x \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} x^3 \mathfrak{J}_y u_x \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u_x dx dy dt \\ & + a^2 \int_0^b \int_0^\tau x (\mathfrak{J}_y^2 u_x) dy dt \\ & - 2 \int_{\Omega} x^2 u_y \mathfrak{J}_y u_x dx dy dt + 2 \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} x^2 (D_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u)^2 dx dy dt \\ & + 2 \int_{\Omega} u_y \mathfrak{J}_y u_x dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} x (u_y)^2 dx dy dt \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} x^3 f \mathfrak{J}_y^2 D_t^\alpha u dx dy dt + 2 \int_{\Omega} x^2 f \mathfrak{J}_y^2 u_x dx dy dt \\ & + \int_{\Omega^\tau} x^3 f \mathfrak{J}_y u_y dx dy dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Applying the elementary inequality and the Cauchy  $\varepsilon$ -inequality on certain terms of (2.3), we have

$$2 \int_{\Omega} x^2 \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u \mathfrak{J}_y u_x dx dy dt \leq \varepsilon_1 \int_{\Omega} x^2 (\mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} \int_{\Omega^\tau} x^2 (\mathfrak{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt, \quad (3.3)$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} x^3 u_y \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u dx dy dt \leq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_y)^2 dx dy dt, \quad (3.4)$$

$$2 \int_{\Omega} x^2 u_y \mathfrak{J}_y u_x dx dy dt \leq \varepsilon_3 \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_y)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_3} \int_{\Omega} x^2 (J_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt, \quad (3.5)$$

$$- 2 \int_{\Omega} u_y \mathfrak{J}_y u_x dx dy dt \leq \varepsilon_4 \int_{\Omega} (u_y)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_4} \int_{\Omega} x^2 (J_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt, \quad (3.6)$$

$$- 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{J}_y u_x \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u dx dy dt \leq \varepsilon_5 \int_{\Omega} (\mathfrak{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_5} \int_{\Omega} (\mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt, \quad (3.7)$$

$$- 2 \int_{\Omega} \mathfrak{J}_y u_x \mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u_x dx dy dt \leq \varepsilon_6 \int_{\Omega} (\mathfrak{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_6} \int_{\Omega} (\mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u_x)^2 dx dy dt, \quad (3.8)$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} x^3 f(\mathfrak{J}_{yy} D_t^\alpha u) dx dy dt \leq \frac{\varepsilon_7}{2} \int_{\Omega^\tau} x^3 f^2 dx dy dt + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_7} \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\mathfrak{J}_{yy} D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{\Omega} x^2 f(\mathcal{J}_{yy} u_x) dx dy dt &\leq \varepsilon_8 \int_{\Omega} x^2 f^2 dx dy dt \\
&+ \frac{b^2}{2\varepsilon_8} \int_{\Omega} x^2 (\mathcal{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt, \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} x^3 f(\mathcal{J}_y u_y) dx dy dt &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_9}{2} \int_{\Omega} x^3 f^2 dx dy dt \\
&+ \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon_9} \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_y)^2 dx dy dt. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3)-(3.11) in (3.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} x^3 (\mathcal{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt + 2 \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \ell_1^2 \int_0^{\ell_2} \int_0^T x (\mathcal{J}_y^2 u_x) dy dt \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} x^2 (D_t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u)^2 dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} x (u_y)^2 dx dy dt \\
\leq &\int_{\Omega} \left( \varepsilon_1 x^2 + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_5} + \frac{b^2 x^3}{4\varepsilon_7} \right) (\mathcal{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{x^2}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_4} + \frac{x^2}{\varepsilon_3} + \varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6 + \frac{b^2 x^2}{2\varepsilon_8} \right) (\mathcal{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 + \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon_9} \right) (u_y)^2 dx dy dt + \frac{b^2}{2\varepsilon_6} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt \\
&+ \left( \frac{\ell_1^3 \varepsilon_7}{2} + \frac{\ell_1^3 \varepsilon_9}{2} + \ell_1^2 \varepsilon_8 \right) \int_{\Omega} (f)^2 dx dy dt. \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of  $f$ , and the elementary inequality:

$$\int_0^{\ell_1} (\mathcal{J}_x u)^2 dx \leq \frac{\ell_1^2}{2} \int_0^{\ell_1} u^2 dx,$$



from (3.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( 1 - \varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_5} - \frac{\ell_2^2 \ell_1^3}{4\varepsilon_7} - \frac{b^2}{2\varepsilon_6} \right) \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt \\
& + 2 \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_x)^2 dx dy dt \\
& + \left( 1 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{\varepsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_4} - \frac{\ell_1^2}{\varepsilon_3} - \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6 - \frac{\ell_2^2 \ell_1^2}{2\varepsilon_8} \right) \int_{\Omega} x (\mathfrak{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt \\
& + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 - \frac{\ell_2^2}{4\varepsilon_9} \right) \int_{\Omega} x (u_y)^2 dx dy dt \\
& \leq \left( \frac{\ell_1^3 \varepsilon_7}{2} + \frac{\ell_1^3 \varepsilon_9}{2} + \ell_1^2 \varepsilon_8 \right) \int_{\Omega} (f)^2 dx dy dt. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 &= 1 - \varepsilon_1 \ell^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_5} - \frac{\ell_2^2 \ell_1^3}{4\varepsilon_7} - \frac{b^2}{2\varepsilon_6} > 0; \\
\Delta_2 &= 1 - \frac{\ell_1^2}{\varepsilon_1} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_4} - \frac{\ell_1^2}{\varepsilon_3} - \varepsilon_5 - \varepsilon_6 + \frac{\ell_2^2 \ell_1^2}{2\varepsilon_8} > 0; \\
\Delta_3 &= 1 - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} - \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4 - \frac{\ell_2^2}{4\varepsilon_9} > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

it follows from estimation (3.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} x^3 (\mathfrak{J}_y D_t^\alpha u)^2 dx dy dt + 2 \int_{\Omega} x^3 (u_x)^2 dx dy dt + \int_{\Omega} x (\mathfrak{J}_y u_x)^2 dx dy dt \\
& + \int_{\Omega} x (u_y)^2 dx dy dt \leq c \int_{\Omega} (f)^2 dx dy dt, \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c = \frac{\frac{\ell_1^3 \varepsilon_7}{2} + \frac{\ell_1^3 \varepsilon_9}{2} + \ell_1^2 \varepsilon_8}{\min(2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)}$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.1** [5]. *The operator  $L$  from  $E$  to  $F$  admits a closure.*

**Corollary 1.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, there is a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $v$  such that*

$$\|v\|_E \leq \|\bar{L}v\|_F, \quad v \in D'(\Omega). \quad (3.15)$$

**Corollary 2.** *If a strong solution exists, it is unique, which depends continuously on  $f$ , if  $v$  is considered in the topology of  $E$  and  $f$  is considered in the topology of  $F$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *The rang  $R(\bar{L})$  of the operator  $\bar{L}$  is closed in  $F$  and  $R(\bar{L}) = \overline{R(L)}$ , where  $R(L)$  is the range of  $L$ .*

### References

- [1] B. Ahmad and J. Nieto, Existence results for nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional integro-differential equations with integral boundary conditions, *Boundary Value Problems* 2009 (2009), 11 pages, Article ID 708576.
- [2] A. Anguraj and P. Karthikeyan, Existence of solutions for fractional semilinear evolution boundary value problem, *Commun. Appl. Anal.* 14 (2010), 505-514.
- [3] M. Belmekki and M. Benchohra, Existence results for fractional order semilinear functional differential equations, *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* 146 (2008), 920.
- [4] M. Benchohra, J. R. Graef and S. Hamani, Existence results for boundary value problems with nonlinear fractional differential equations, *Appl. Anal.* 87 (2008), 851-863.
- [5] DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari, SOAMPA Bangan and TCHARIE Kokou, Uniqueness of the solutions of nonlocal pluriparabolic fractional problems with weighted integral boundary conditions, *Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes* 26 (2022), 103-112.
- [6] DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari, SOAMPA Bangan and TCHARIE Kokou, A strong solution of a mixed problem with boundary integral conditions for a certain parabolic fractional equation using Fourier's method, *International Journal of Advances in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* 9(2) (2021), 1-6.

- [7] DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari, SOAMPA Bangan and TCHARIE Kokou, On solvability of an evolution mixed problem for a certain parabolic fractional equation with weighted integral boundary conditions in Sobolev function spaces, *Universal Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 12(2) (2021), 107-119.
- [8] DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari and Ahcene Merad, On solvability of the third pseudo-parabolic fractional equation with purely nonlocal conditions, *Advances in Differential Equations and Control Processes* 23(1) (2020), 87-104.
- [9] Djibibe Moussa Zakari and Tcharie Kokou, On the solvability of an evolution problem with weighted integral boundary conditions in Sobolev function spaces with a priori estimate and Fourier's method, *British Journal of Mathematic and Computer Science* 3(4) (2013), 801-810.
- [10] N. J. Ford, J. Xiao and Y. Yan, A finite element method for time fractional partial differential equations, *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 14(3) (2011), 454-474. doi: 10.2478/s13540-011-0028-2
- [11] J. H. He, Nonlinear oscillation with fractional derivative and its applications, *International Conference on Vibrating Engineering* 98, Dalian, China, 1998, pp. 288-291.
- [12] J. H. He, Some applications of nonlinear fractional differential equations and their approximations, *Bull. Sci. Technol.* 15 (1999), 86-90.
- [13] X. J. Li and C. J. Xu, Existence and uniqueness of the weak solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation and a spectral method approximation, *Communications in Computational Physics* 8(5) (2010), 1016-1051.
- [14] SOAMPA Bangan and DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari, Mixed problem with a pure integral two-space-variables condition for a third order fractional parabolic equation, *Malaya Journal of Matematik* 8(1) (2020), 258-271.
- [15] SOAMPA Bangan, DJIBIBE Moussa Zakari and Kokou TCHARIE, Analytical approximation solution of pseudo-parabolic fractional equation using a modified double Laplace decomposition method, *Theoretical Mathematics and Applications* 10(1) (2020), 17-31.